EXHIBIT 2

```
1
          IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
 3
                            ---000---
 4
 5
     SIX4THREE, LLC, A DELAWARE
                                     CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
     LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,
 6
                    PLAINTIFFS,
 7
               VS.
                                        CASE NO. CIV533328
 8
     FACEBOOK, INC., A DELAWARE
 9
     CORPORATION, ET AL.,
10
                    DEFENDANTS.
11
12
                    REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
1.3
                 BEFORE: HONORABLE V. RAYMOND SWOPE, JUDGE
14
                              DEPARTMENT 23
15
                            NOVEMBER 30, 2018
16
17
18
    APPEARANCES
19
    FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: STUART G. GROSS
                          ATTORNEY AT LAW
20
                          DAVID S. GODKIN
21
                          ATTORNEY AT LAW
22
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS: JOSH H. LERNER
                          ATTORNEY AT LAW
23
                          SONAL N. MEHTA
24
                          ATTORNEY AT LAW
25
26
    REPORTED BY: GERALDINE VANDEVELD, C.S.R. 8634
```

1 HE'S ATTACHING THEM FOR MR. COLLINS REVIEW. 2 THE COURT: YES. MR. LERNER: WE HAVE ASKED FOR THOSE ATTACHMENTS. 3 4 AND AS YOU HEARD OPPOSING COUNSEL SAY "WE'LL PRODUCE THEM 5 EVENTUALLY." 6 THE COURT: THERE'S NO EVENTUALITY ABOUT IT. 7 MR. LERNER: RIGHT. I DON'T KNOW HOW IT IS POSSIBLE 8 THAT WE ARE SITTING HERE RIGHT NOW WITHOUT THOSE DOCUMENTS. 9 BECAUSE WITHOUT THEM, IT'S IMPOSSIBLE FOR EXAMPLE FOR 10 MR. KRAMER OR ANYBODY ELSE TO TELL YOU THAT HIS DECLARATION IS 11 ACCURATE. 12 THE COURT: YOU KNOW, I'VE BEEN VERY PATIENT OVER 13 THE PAST FEW WEEKS AND THE PAST FEW DAYS WITH REGARD TO THESE 14 MATTERS. I MUST SAY, HOWEVER, WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS UNCONSCIONABLE. IT SHOCKS THE CONSCIENCE. AND YOUR CONDUCT 15 16 IS NOT WELL TAKEN BY THIS COURT. 17 IT'S ONE THING TO SERVE OTHER NEEDS THAT ARE OUTSIDE 18 THE SCOPE OF THIS LAWSUIT, BUT YOU DON'T SERVE THOSE NEEDS OR 19 SATISFY THE CURIOSITIES OF INOUIRING PARTIES WHEN THERE'S A 20 COURT ORDER PREVENTING YOU TO DO SO. 21 IT IS RATHER CURIOUS THAT THE SAME LAPTOP THAT WAS USED TO DOWNLOAD ONTO A THUMB-DRIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION 22 23 SUBJECT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDERS OF MY SUBSEQUENT ORDERS TO SEAL IS NOT AVAILABLE IN THIS COURT TODAY. IT WAS AVAILABLE 24 25 TO THE HOUSE OF COMMONS DCMS BUT NOT TO ME. 26 AND THERE IS NO EXCUSE TO HAVE A LAPTOP AVAILABLE TO

A SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS INQUIRING ON MATTERS
THAT ARE NOT WITHIN THE FOUR CORNERS OF THIS LAWSUIT AND YET
MAKE IT UNAVAILABLE TO THIS COURT WHEN YOU HAVE A HEARING
TODAY AT 2:00 O'CLOCK WHICH I DULY NOTICED BY THE ORDERS THAT
I ISSUED.

MR. LERNER, YOU MAY CONTINUE.

MR. LERNER: I THINK YOUR HONOR PUT IT BETTER THAN I COULD HAVE. AND I WANT TO CLOSE WITH THE FOLLOWING ON THIS TOPIC. WE ARE ASKING FOR THE TWO LIMITED DEPOSITIONS I JUST DESCRIBED AND FOR FORENSIC IMAGES THAT JUST PRESERVE INFORMATION. WHY IS THAT SO IMPORTANT? IF I CAN HUMBLY SPEAK ON BEHALF OF LAWYERS IN THIS STATE, I HAVE LOOKED LONG AND HARD FOR NOT JUST THE CASE IN THIS STATE BUT A CASE ANYWHERE THAT INVOLVES SOMETHING LIKE THIS, AND I CAN'T FIND ONE. I HAVE FOUND SITUATIONS IN WHICH COUNSEL DISCLOSED THE PROFITS OF A COMPANY IN VIOLATION OF A PROTECTIVE ORDER AND THERE WERE SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES. I HAVE FOUND SITUATIONS IN WHICH INFORMATION WAS DISCLOSED TO EXPERTS, BUT NOT IN A WAY THAT IT SAW THE LIGHT OF DAY AND THERE WERE SERIOUS CONSEQUENCES.

IF THERE ARE NOT CONSEQUENCES HERE, THE TRUST THAT

HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED OVER THE COURSE OF MY ENTIRE CAREER AND

PEOPLE LONG BEFORE ME THAT ENABLES ME TO TELL MY CLIENTS AND

ENABLES MY OPPOSING COUNSEL TO TELL THEIR CLIENTS, I

UNDERSTAND YOUR ENGINEERS ARE TERRIFIED ABOUT PRODUCING THIS

INFORMATION. I UNDERSTAND YOUR EXECUTIVES, OF COURSE, HAVE TO

HAVE GROWN UP DISCUSSIONS AMONGST THEMSELVES WHERE THEY ARE

ABLE TO DELIBERATE HONESTLY AND OPENLY AND HAVE A MARKETED PLACE OF IDEAS.

I UNDERSTAND YOU'RE WORRIED THAT ALL THAT COULD SEE
THE LIGHT OF DAY, BUT NO JUDGE HAS EVER LET THAT HAPPEN. NO
LAWYER HAS EVER JUST GONE OUT AND PRODUCED ALL OF IT. THAT'S
WHAT WE ALWAYS SAY IN ORDER TO MAKE PEOPLE COMFORTABLE WITH
DOCUMENT PRODUCTIONS THAT THIS COURT AND OTHER COURTS NEED IN
ORDER TO RULE ON CASES.

I CAN'T SAY THAT ANYMORE. NEITHER CAN THEY. AS A RESULT OF WHAT HAPPENED HERE, LAWYERS CAN NO LONGER SAY -IT'S NEVER HAPPENED. PEOPLE DON'T GO OUT AND PRODUCE HUNDREDS
OF DOCUMENTS TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENT. WE CAN'T SAY JUDGES
PROTECT THIS CONDUCT. THIS HAPPENED IN OPEN DEFIANCE OF YOUR HONOR'S ORDERS.

THE COURT: THE ENDS DO NOT JUSTIFY THE MEANS.

WHATEVER YOU'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH, THE ENDS DO NOT JUSTIFY

THE MEANS. PARTICULARLY WHEN MY ORDERS ARE VIOLATED IN

RELATION TO THIS CASE.

ONE ORDER I'M GOING TO MAKE RIGHT NOW, MR. GODKIN
AND MR. GROSS, THE GROSS & KLEIN FIRM AND THE BIRNBAUM &
GODKIN FIRM AND COUNSEL STUART GROSS, DAVID S. GODKIN AND
JAMES KRUZER SHALL REMAIN IN THIS CASE AND SHALL NOT WITHDRAW
FROM REPRESENTATION OF PLAINTIFF UNTIL THE MATTERS IN RELATION
TO THE DISTRIBUTION OF THOSE CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS IS
RESOLVED. YOU'RE NOT GOING ANYWHERE. AND YOU ARE ORDERED TO
REMAIN IN THIS CASE.

RECORD AND EXECUTE THE ORDER SHORTLY. 1 2 (WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN.) THE COURT: THE RECORD SHALL REFLECT THAT THE COURT 3 4 HAS TAKEN SOME TIME TO REVISE ITS ORDER AND TO PREPARE A FINAL 5 ORDER CONCERNING THE MATTERS RELATING TO THIS HEARING TODAY. 6 THE COURT HAS READ AND CONSIDERED THE MOVING PARTIES AND THE 7 OPPOSITION PAPERS AND ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL. AND I WANT TO 8 REITERATE THE FOLLOWING BECAUSE THE NOTICE AND TIMING OF 9 CERTAIN EVENTS ARE SIGNIFICANT. WITH REGARD TO THE ORDER, ON NOVEMBER 19TH, 2018, 10 11 THIS COURT SET A BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, 12 INC.'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR THE EXPEDITED BRIEFING ON A 13 MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND CONTEMPT BY EMAIL. 14 ON NOVEMBER 20TH, 2018, THIS COURT ISSUED AN ORDER FOR BRIEFING AND STAYING SUBMISSION OF UNREDACTED COPIES OF 15 16 SEALED DOCUMENTS. 17 ON NOVEMBER 26, THE COURT RECEIVED PLAINTIFF 18 SIX4THREE, LLC'S RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER AT 19 11:35 A.M., DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE AT 11:55 A.M., AND 20 PLAINTIFF'S "LIMITED RESPONSE" TO DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE AT 21 4:46 P.M. 22 ON NOVEMBER 27, 2018, THIS COURT ORDERED AN ORDER 23 AND NOTICE OF HEARING SETTING A HEARING FOR NOVEMBER 30, 2018, 24 AT 2:00 P.M. 25 ON NOVEMBER 28, 2018, THE COURT RECEIVED DEFENDANT'S 26 RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER AT 7:02 P.M. AND

1 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE AT 7:06 P.M. 2 ON NOVEMBER 29TH, 2018, AT 2:31 P.M., MR. GODKIN SENT A LETTER TO BOTH THE COURT AND THE PARTIES. A COPY OF 3 4 WHICH IS ATTACHED TO MY ORDER AS EXHIBIT A. 5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS: 6 DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE IS GRANTED, IN PART, AND 7 DENIED, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, IN PART. 8 DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED BRIEFING 9 AND HEARING ON TERMINATING SANCTIONS AND CONTEMPT SANCTIONS IS PROCEDURALLY IMPROPER. NOTICE MUST BE GIVEN PURSUANT TO CODE 10 11 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 2023.030. 12 THE COURT, AFTER NOTICE TO ANY AFFECTED PARTY, 13 PERSON, OR ATTORNEY, AND AFTER OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING, MAY 14 IMPOSE SANCTIONS AGAINST ANYONE ENGAGING IN CONDUCT THAT IS A MISUSE OF THE DISCOVERY PROCESS. PURSUANT TO THE CASE OF 15 16 SOLE ENERGY CO. V. HODGES. THAT'S A 2005 CASE AT 17 128 CAL. APP. 4TH, 199 PINPOINT CITATION OF 208. DISCOVERY 18 SANCTIONS MAY NOT BE ORDERED EX PARTE, AND AN ORDER PURPORTING 19 TO DO SO IS VOID. 20 DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED REQUESTS 21 FOR DOCUMENT PRODUCTION IS PROCEDURALLY IMPROPER. NO REQUESTS 22 HAVE BEEN SERVED ON PLAINTIFF AND THE RELIEF REQUESTED IS 23 PREMATURE. FURTHERMORE, A MOTION IS REQUIRED. NOW, PURSUANT 24 TO CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 2031.260(A), THE RESPONSE 25 DEADLINE TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION IS 30 DAYS UNLESS ON 26 MOTION OF THE PARTY MAKING THE DEMAND, THE COURT HAS SHORTENED

1 THE TIME FOR RESPONSE. 2 THERE ARE ALSO PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN WEIL & BROWN WHICH ARE SECONDARY AUTHORITIES THAT ADDRESS THIS PROCEDURAL 3 4 ISSUE, AND I CITED THEM IN MY ORDER. HOWEVER, THE COURT MAY ISSUE AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME PURSUANT TO EX PARTE 5 6 APPLICATION ON ANY SUCH MOTION. AND I'M GOING TO DO JUST 7 THAT. 8 THE DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE REQUEST TO SHORTEN NOTICES 9 OF DEPOSITION IS GRANTED TO FIVE DAYS UPON ELECTRONIC SERVICE OR PERSONAL DELIVERY. THIS IS PURSUANT TO CODE OF CIVIL 10 11 PROCEDURE SECTION 2025.270(D). ALSO WEIL & BROWN AT 12 8:493.3. NOTICES OF DEPOSITION ON PARTIES MAY INCLUDE 13 REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION. AND THAT'S PURSUANT TO CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 2025.220(A)(4). 14 DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE REQUEST TO REQUIRE DEPOSITIONS 15 16 OF PLAINTIFFS PRO HAC VICE COUNSEL IN SAN MATEO COUNTY IS 17 DENIED. AND THAT'S PURSUANT TO CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 18 SECTION 2025.250(A). 19 IN RULING ON THE EX PARTE APPLICATION, THIS COURT 20 TAKES NO POSITION ON THE ISSUES OF THE WAIVER OF 21 ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE OR THE TAKING OF DEPOSITIONS OF ANY 22 OF PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AS THAT ISSUE IS NOT RIPE FOR REVIEW. 23 NOTICES OF DEPOSITION, REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION, AND OBJECTIONS 24 HAVE YET TO BE SERVED. 25 THE COURT IMMEDIATELY ORDERS THAT PLAINTIFF'S 26 COUNSEL STUART GROSS OF GROSS & KLEIN SHALL UNMARK ALL FOLDERS

1 AND FILES MARKED FOR DELETION IN THE SIX4THREE DROPBOX ACCOUNT 2 TO PRESERVE ALL FILES AND FOLDERS. AFTER UNMARKING, MR. GROSS SHALL THEN PROVIDE THE ADMINISTRATOR ACCESS LOG IN AND THE 3 PASSWORD TO THE THIRD PARTY FORENSIC EXAMINER AGREED TO ON THE 4 5 RECORD. ERIC FRIEDBERG OF STROZ FRIEDBERG, OR HIS AGENTS, AT 6 (212) 981-6536 WHICH IS THE OFFICE OR (914) 329-9371 WHICH IS 7 THE MOBILE, OR EFRIEDBERG@STROZFRIEDBERG.COM WHICH IS THE 8 EMAIL FOR THE FORENSIC EXAMINER. 9 UPON RECEIPT OF SAID INFORMATION, THE COURT 10 IMMEDIATELY ORDERS THE FORENSIC EXAMINER TO MAINTAIN CHAIN OF 11 CUSTODY, TAKE ALL MEASURES TO RESTRICT ACCESS TO, AND PRESERVE 12 THE DATA FROM THE SIX4THREE DROPBOX ACCOUNT, INCLUDING BUT NOT 13 LIMITED TO IMAGING, FOR PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THIS COURT. 14 15 THE COURT IMMEDIATELY ORDERS THAT MR. THEODORE 16 KRAMER SHALL NOT OPEN OR ACCESS, IN ANY WAY, THE LAPTOP HE 17 USED TO ACCESS DEFENDANT'S HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS AND 18 TRANSFER THOSE FILES TO THE USB THUMB-DRIVE TO PARLIAMENT 19 UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT. 20 THE COURT IMMEDIATELY ORDERS THAT MR. KRAMER SHALL 21 NOT OPEN, ACCESS, MODIFY, OR DELETE ANY STORAGE OR BACK-UP 22 DEVICES FOR HIS LAPTOP, WHETHER IN PHYSICAL FORMAT THAT IS TO 23 SAY PHYSICAL STORAGE DEVICES. FOR EXAMPLE, USB THUMB-DRIVE OR 24 IN THE CLOUD. FOR EXAMPLE, CLOUD STORAGE. 25 MR. KRAMER, TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL

DAVID GODKIN AND STUART GROSS, SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE FOR PICK

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UP AT GROSS & KLEIN, THE EMBARCADERO, PIER 9, SUITE 100, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 - THE LAPTOP, ALL PHYSICAL STORAGE DEVICES, IDENTIFY IN WRITING ALL CLOUD STORAGE, AND PROVIDE ANY LOG-IN INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR THE FULL AND COMPLETE ACCESS TO ALL DATA IN THE AFOREMENTIONED FORENSIC TO THE FORENSIC EXAMINER NO LATER THAN FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 30TH, 2018, AT 9:00 O'CLOCK P.M. DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL ARE PERMITTED TO BE PRESENT FOR THIS PICK UP. THE FORENSIC EXAMINER SHALL PICK UP, MAINTAIN CHAIN OF CUSTODY, TAKE ALL MEASURES TO RESTRICT ACCESS TO, AND PRESERVE THE DATA ON THE LAPTOP, ALL PHYSICAL STORAGE DEVICES, AND CLOUD STORAGE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO IMAGING, FOR PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT. MR. KRAMER, TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY MR. GODKIN AND MR. GROSS, SHALL MAKE HIS MOBILE DEVICES AVAILABLE AND PROVIDE ANY LOG IN INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR THE FULL AND COMPLETE ACCESS FOR PRESERVATION OF DATA ON THOSE DEVICES TO THE FORENSIC EXAMINER AT GROSS & KLEIN NO LATER THAN FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 30TH AT 9:00 O'CLOCK P.M. THE FORENSIC EXAMINER SHALL TAKE ALL MEASURES TO PRESERVE THE DATA ON THE MOBILE DEVICES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO IMAGING, FOR PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THIS COURT. DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL ARE PERMITTED TO BE PRESENT FOR THIS DATA PRESERVATION BY THE FORENSIC EXAMINER. UPON COMPLETION OF THE IMAGING OF THE MOBILE DEVICES, THE FORENSIC EXAMINER SHALL RETURN THE MOBILE

1 DEVICES TO MR. KRAMER. 2 THE COURT ORDERS MR. KRAMER SHALL NOT DELETE ANY DATA FROM HIS MOBILE DEVICES, WHATSOEVER, UNTIL FURTHER ORDER 3 4 OF THIS COURT. IF ANY OF HIS MOBILE DEVICES ARE SET TO AUTOMATICALLY DELETE ANY DATA, THE COURT INSTRUCTS MR. KRAMER 5 6 TO TURN OFF THAT SETTING. 7 THE COURT IMMEDIATELY ORDERS THAT MR. THOMAS 8 SCARAMELLINO, WHO IS A MEMBER OF SIX4THREE'S LEGAL TEAM, SHALL 9 NOT OPEN OR ACCESS, IN ANY WAY, THE LAPTOP OR COMPUTER HE USED 10 TO ACCESS SIX4THREE'S DROPBOX AND THAT MR. SCARAMELLINO SHALL 11 NOT OPEN, ACCESS, MODIFY, OR DELETE ANY PHYSICAL STORAGE 12 DEVICES OR CLOUD STORAGE FROM HIS LAPTOP OR COMPUTER. 13 MR. SCARAMELLINO SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE FOR PICK UP AT 14 THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY MR. GODKIN, 2674 STATE ROUTE 42, FORESTBURGH, NY 12777 - THE LAPTOP OR COMPUTER, HIS PHYSICAL 15 16 STORAGE DEVICES, AND IDENTIFY IN WRITING ALL CLOUD STORAGE AND 17 PROVIDE ANY LOG IN INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR THE FULL AND 18 COMPLETE ACCESS TO ALL DATA IN THE AFOREMENTIONED TO THE 19 FORENSIC EXAMINER NO LATER THAN SATURDAY, DECEMBER 1ST, 2018, 20 AT 12:00 O'CLOCK P.M. THE FORENSIC EXAMINER SHALL PICK UP, 21 MAINTAIN CHAIN OF CUSTODY, TAKE ALL MEASURES TO RESTRICT 22 ACCESS TO, AND PRESERVE THE DATA ON THE LAPTOP OR COMPUTER, 23 MR. SCARAMELLINO'S PHYSICAL STORAGE DEVICES, AND 24 MR. SCARAMELLINO'S CLOUD STORAGE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO

IMAGING, FOR PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT ORDERS THAT THE FORENSIC EXAMINER SHALL NOT DISCLOSE ANY DATA PRESERVED OR COLLECTED IN THIS ACTION TO ANY PARTY, NON-PARTY, PERSON OR ENTITY, UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT. MR. KRAMER SHALL AUTHENTICATE AND PRODUCE FULL COPIES OF THE EMAILS AND ATTACHMENTS HE PRODUCED AS EXHIBITS TO HIS DECLARATION FILED IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER, FILED NOVEMBER 26, 2018, TO DEFENDANT NO LATER THAN DECEMBER 1ST, 2018, AT 9:00 O'CLOCK A.M. THIS SHALL INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED, TO THE THREE ATTACHMENTS IN EXHIBIT 1 THAT IS QUOTE "SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT.PDF," CLOSE QUOTE FILED CORRECTED OPPOSITION TO INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS ANTI-SLAPP.PDF," CLOSE QUOTE OR QUOTE "REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTIONSIX4THREE.PDF" CLOSE QUOTE AND TEXT IDENTIFIED AS HIDDEN BY QUOTE "QUOTED TEXT HIDDEN" CLOSE QUOTE AT EXHIBIT 2, PAGE 2 AND EXHIBIT 5, PAGE 2. REFER TO THE DEFENSE RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER AT PAGE 6, LINES 20 TO 21. THESE EMAILS AND ATTACHMENTS SHALL BE BATES-STAMPED FOR EASE OF FUTURE REFERENCE FOR BOTH PARTIES AND THE COURT. THE PROVISION FOR PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE IN THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER REMAINS IN EFFECT AND IS ORDERED EXTENDED TO APPLY TO ANY STORAGE OR BACK-UP DEVICES FOR ANY MOBILE DEVICES, WHETHER IN PHYSICAL FORMAT OR IN THE CLOUD. FOR EXAMPLE, THE ICLOUD. GOOD CAUSE APPEARS TO ORDER PRESERVATION OF THE

1 AFOREMENTIONED BASED ON MR. KRAMER'S ADMITTED ACTIONS, THE 2 CLOUD CAST BY PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL, COUNSEL'S CHANGE IN NARRATIVE IN THE NOVEMBER 29TH LETTER, WHICH IS NOT SUPPORTED 3 BY COMPETENT EVIDENCE. PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL'S ASSERTION THAT 4 QUOTE "IT DOES NOT APPEAR THAT MR. KRAMER'S DELETION OF LOCAL 5 6 COPIES OF THE DOCUMENTS FROM HIS COMPUTER WOULD AFFECT ANY 7 ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE OF HIS PROVISION OF DOCUMENTS, IF ANY 8 EXISTED. PERIOD. IT APPEARS THAT THIS INFORMATION, IF IT 9 EXISTED, WOULD BE CONTAINED IN THE SYSTEM LOG OF HIS LAPTOP." PERIOD CLOSE QUOTE. THAT IS THE NOVEMBER 29TH LETTER AT 10 11 PAGE 2. 12 MR. KRAMER'S ADMISSION THAT HE QUOTE "DOES NOT 13 RECALL THE EXACT FILES THAT HE TRANSFERRED." CLOSE QUOTE. 14 THAT IS KRAMER'S DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER, FILED NOVEMBER 26, 2018, 15 16 AT PAGE 5, LINES 23 TO 25. AND FOR THE MATTERS DISCUSSED ON 17 THE RECORD. 18 FOR DISCOVERY DISPUTES, THE PARTIES ARE REMINDED OF 19 THE DISCOVERY PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN THE CASE MANAGEMENT 20 ORDER NUMBER 1, PARAGRAPH 11. SEE THE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 21 14, PARAGRAPH 6. ANY REQUEST FOR A DISCOVERY CONFERENCE SHALL 22 BE DELIVERED TO DEPARTMENT 23 BOTH ELECTRONICALLY AND IN 23 PHYSICAL FORM. 24 PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL SHALL REMAIN IN THIS ACTION 25 UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT.

MR. GODKIN SHALL IMMEDIATELY PROVIDE A COPY OF THIS

ORDER TO MR. SCARAMELLINO UPON RECEIPT.

LASTLY, THE COURT FINDS THAT ALTHOUGH THE SUMMARY OF FACTS PRESENTED BY DEFENDANT IN ITS EX PARTE AND RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER IS COMPELLING, IT IS NOT IN AFFIDAVIT FORM. CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 20 -- I'M SORRY. CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 1211(A) CONTROLS THAT PARTICULAR COMMENT. AND YOU SHOULD SEE YOUR EX PARTE AT PAGE 2, LINES 24 TO 7, LINE 20. SO THAT'S PAGES 2, LINE 24 THROUGH PAGE 7, LINE 20. AND THE DEFENSE RESPONSE TO THE NOVEMBER 20TH ORDER AT PAGE 1, LINES 10 TO 4, LINE 5; PAGE 5, LINES 19 TO PAGE 6, LINE 8; AND PAGE 9, LINES 3 TO PAGE 10:5. FINALLY, PAGE 10, LINE 16 TO PAGE 11, LINE 14. I EXPECT PLAINTIFF AND THE PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO COOPERATE WITH THE EXPEDITED DISCOVERY.

FINALLY, THERE IS A PENDING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S

FEES THAT'S SCHEDULED FOR DECEMBER 7TH, 2018. THAT HEARING

SHALL BE CONTINUED TO JANUARY 11TH, 2019, AT 9:00 A.M. SO

THERE WILL BE NO DECEMBER 7 HEARING. THAT HEARING IS

CONTINUED TO JANUARY 11, 2019, AT 9:00 A.M.

IT IS SO ORDERED. THE COURT IS IN POSSESSION OF THE ORDER THAT ITS JUST READ FROM. AND THE COURT IS EXECUTING THIS ORDER IN OPEN COURT. IT IS SO ORDERED. AND I'M ALSO ORDERING MY COURTROOM CLERK TO CONFORM THESE ORDERS AND FILE STAMP AND ENDORSE SEPARATE COPIES FOR DELIVERY TO COUNSEL.

THE COURT CAN AND WILL MAKE ITSELF AVAILABLE FOR A
DISCOVERY -- I'M SORRY -- DISCOVERY. I'VE BEEN TALKING A LOT
TODAY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. AND MY SINCERE APOLOGIES. I

WILL MAKE MYSELF AVAILABLE FOR A DISCOVERY CONFERENCE ON DECEMBER 7TH. AT THE TIME THAT WE WERE GOING TO HEAR THE MOTIONS. THAT'S ABOUT AS EXPEDIENT AS I CAN BE. AND WE'RE TAKING FULL ADVANTAGE OF THAT TIME WE'RE ALLOCATING TO THE ATTORNEY'S FEES MOTIONS. IT IS SO ORDERED. THANK YOU, EVERYONE. COUNSEL STAND BY FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE ORDERS THAT HAVE BEEN FILED ENDORSED. THE COURT IS ALSO GOING TO POST A COPY OF THE ORDER THAT I JUST READ FROM AND SIGNED ON THE DOOR. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR ATTENTION AND PATIENCE, EVERYONE. COURT IS IN RECESS. (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.) ---000---

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) SS.
3	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO)
4	I, GERALDINE VANDEVELD, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER,
5	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:
6	THAT THE FOREGOING CONTAINS A TRUE, FULL AND CORRECT
7	TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS GIVEN AND HAD IN THE
8	WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER THAT WERE REPORTED BY ME AT THE TIME
9	AND PLACE MENTIONED AND THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED BY ME OR AT MY
10	DIRECTION INTO LONGHAND TYPEWRITING AND THAT THE SAME IS A
11	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS.
12	DATED: DECEMBER 3, 2018
13	1, 2/1/
14	If the Vallet
15	GERALDINE VANDEVELD, C.S.R. #8634 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	